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#### Abstract

: Identification of key aspects of television watching habits and the behaviour of Chennai viewers in terms of watching their selective infotainment programmes help the channels, programmers, and the advertisers to penetrate their audience and strengthen their market-driven business. The TV operators, programmers and owners develop a clear vision of their targeted audience which helps them improvise the content, presentation, timing, and marketing strategies for high yielding prospects. Chennai is a mixture of different demographic settlements, people come here from various parts of Tamil Nadu, a South Indian state. The nature of the results can be extended to a larger Tamil audience. The current research focuses on the people's television viewing patterns, their behaviour and habits. It also explores the attitudes of multiple demographic audiences towards their engrossment for television programmes of different genres. It aims to understand the viewing patterns of various demographic audiences; finds out the ratio of viewership for each of the programmes genres; and, explores television viewing habits of Chennai audience.
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## INTRODUCTION

Television audiences are of various demographic, psychographic and geographic in nature. Researchers have proved throughout their studies that television viewing patterns and behavior significantly vary to the above said audience segments. Viewers show notable viewing priorities in terms of timings, needs, and programme choice. In spite of being same gender the programme preferences differs in terms of geographic and psychological audiences. Itis also proved that the motives decide the pattern, preferences and behavior of Television viewing. The current research focuses on the people's television viewing patterns, their behaviour and habits. It also explores the attitudes of multiple demographic audiences towards their engrossment for television programmes of different genres. It aims to understand the viewing patterns of various demographic audiences; finds out the ratio of viewership for each of the programmes genres; and, explores television viewing habits of Chennai audience.

To find out the viewing patterns, habits, and taste to watch specific Tamil infotainment programmes are of utmost importance in terms of adjudicating and penetrating the target audience by various regional channels in Chennai region. Therefore, the survey conducted by the researchers fills the gap of research in this area of study and paves way to distinguish the audience of different age groups to have inclination for specific TV programmes in Tamil dialect. The market-driven

[^0]approach of Tamil TV channels might have satiated by analyzing the infotainment-oriented viewers in Chennai region.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The methodology adopted to car ry out this research is descriptive in nature. Survey method is used to get primary data. Closed ended questionnaire, as a research tool was designed to collect primary data and non-probability sampling method and purposive sampling were adopted to get results. A total of 300 respondents as a sample size of all age groups, male and female, in Chennai region were taken into account for the study. T-Tests, Frequencies, Percentile, ANOVA, Chi-Square, and CROSSTABS were also presented while interpreting the data in tables. All the data readings are represented only in percentage values.

The survey was limited to a period of one and a half month from November to December 2016. In spite of extensive data available in the analysis only few inferences have been highlighted due to various constrains yet leaving enormous data for other researchers to infer pertaining to their research needs. The demography educational qualification is not about what they are studying at present but of what they have appeared already.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

Ugalde, Martínez-de-Morentín, \& Medrano-Samaniego (2017) studied how youngsters watch television. To analyze their viewing habits, they administered two types of questionnaires to 553 adolescents. Television viewing Habits Questionnaire comprised 10 variables inquiring reason for viewing, identification with the character, perceived realism, time spent watching, alternative activities, television genres, conversation, perceived family environment, and parental mediation while The Television Values Questionnaire had 21 item scales. They found out two patterns of television viewing 1) Conflictive-Passive which was dominant in young males for both entertainment purpose and countering family conflicts 2) Committed-Positive which was established among young females for social commitment and thought freedom. They also have mentioned that the age and gender differences had a notable variance in viewing patterns.

Ajantha (2014) identified that adolescents watched television more during weekend's than in weekdays. Ajantha also established the highest level of independent TV watching but a notable level of co-watching too. Mostly entertainment viewing pattern was observed. Entertainment channels and entertainment programmes gained high percentage viewership ratings. Programmes like movie songs, reality programmes like dance and song competitions were highly watched.

Lawrence and Wozniak (1989) found that independent Television watching habit was observed mostly among children and if at all co-viewing existed it was more common with siblings than of friends and parents. Rossiter and Robertson (1975) found that parents who had household duties and were looking after young children spend less time on TV.

Hopkins and Mullis (1985) explored that in family co-viewing habits of television, kids of both genders showed greater agreement levels towards fathers than mothers. This means that there revolves an interaction among family members while watching TV programmes. Perse (1990) identified ritual television watching as a deciding viewing pattern for media use. Damratoski, Field, Mizell, \& Budden (2011) observed that 7 pm to 10 pm was the prime time for television watching
among college students between age 19-23 especially on Sunday. Their findings also revealed that 26.6 percent students preferred watching Situational Comedy, 26.6 percent Sports and 26.6percentReality programmes being most popular television genres. Pugalendhi (2015) recorded those housewives in Chennai city spent 5 hours on soap opera and 3 hours on cookery. Appell (1963) registered that escapism from stress, strain and boredom was the motivating factor behind watching television programmes. Haines et al., (2012) found in their study that 50 percent of children watched TV for more than 2 hours. TV was even watched inside bedroom for putting kids to sleep. Rubin (1983) recorded significant correlations between pass time/habit and companionship and escape viewing.

## DATA ANALYSIS

Table 1.1 Demographics of people watching television programmes

| DEMOGRAPHICS OF PEOPLE VIEWING TV PROGRAMMES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Age groups | Percentage |
| 10 to 20 | 48.3 |
| 21 to 30 | 27.3 |
| 31 to 40 | 10.0 |
| 41 to 50 | 5.0 |
| >51 | 9.3 |
| Gender |  |
| Male | 47.0 |
| Female | 53.0 |
| Employment status |  |
| Not Working | 64.3 |
| Working | 34.0 |
| Part-time Job | 1.7 |
| Education Qualification |  |
| Below 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ | 10.0 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 5.7 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 52.3 |
| Under Graduate | 17.7 |
| Post Graduate | 9.0 |
| Professional | 5.3 |
| Marital status |  |
| Married | 28.0 |
| Unmarried | 72.0 |

## Findings:

From the table 1.1 it is found that age groups between 21-30 watched TV Programmes with highest percentage of 27.0 percent; females 53 percent against Males in gender and the variable not working 64.3 percent as highest in employment status, variable $12^{\text {th }}$ standard is highest in education demography (not necessarily to be studying at present but can be of failed or appeared long before years) and finally unmarried people with 72 percent in marital status.

Table 1.2 Percentages of number of hours watching TV in a day

| Hours Spent Per Day | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | 16.0 | 28.7 | 18.3 | 12.3 | 7.0 | 5.0 | 3.3 | 4.7 | 2.0 | .3 |

## Findings:

Table 1.2 finds that 2 hours is the highest screen hours per day with the percentage of 28.7
Table 1.3 Percentages of number of days watching TV in a week

| Days Spent Per Week | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ | 1.3 | 5.7 | 4.3 | 4.0 | 10.3 | 3.7 | 1.3 |

## Findings:

From Table 1.3, 5 days is the highest viewed number of days per week with 10.3 percentages.
Table 1.4 Percentages of TV Genres being watched

| Prog. Genr es | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NBI } \\ \text { P } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DT } \\ \text { K } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{CO} \\ & \mathrm{M} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{RE} \\ & \mathrm{AL} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SE } \\ \mathrm{R} \end{gathered}$ | MV | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { MV } \\ \mathrm{S} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { FT } \\ & \text { LS } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { S\& } \\ \mathrm{T} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { G\& } \\ & \text { C } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{SP} \\ \mathrm{~T} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{EN} \\ \mathrm{G} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{RE} \\ \mathrm{~L} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { OT } \\ \text { S } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{TL} \\ \mathrm{~S} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { RD } \\ \mathrm{S} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% | $\begin{gathered} 93 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94 . \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 90 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 67 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85 . \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 68 . \\ 0 \end{gathered}$ | 3.7 | $\begin{gathered} 87 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 22 . \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 7.3 | $\begin{gathered} 26 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | 4.5 | $\begin{gathered} 13 . \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ |

## Findings:

From Table 1.4 the most highly watched genres were Debates and Talk shows and Movies with 95 percent each.

Table 1.5 Percentages of information and entertainment sought

| Percentage Ranges | 0 | 1 to $25 \%$ | 25 to $50 \%$ | 51 to $75 \%$ | 76 to $100 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% number of people <br> seeking information | 1.3 | 50.7 | 41.0 | 3.7 | 3.3 |
| \% number of people <br> seeking entertainment | 1.3 | 3.3 | 13.0 | 41.7 | 40.7 |

## Findings:

In Table 1.5 out of information and entertainment seeking, entertainment ranks high with 40.7 percent for ranges between 76 to 100 percent.

Table 2.1 Percentages of various age groups watching each of the TV genres

| Age | Programme Genres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBIP | DTK | COM | REAL | SER | MV | MVS | FTLS | S\&T | G\&C | SPT | ENG | REL | OTS | TLS | RDS |
| 10-20 | 30.7 | 33.3 | 7.0 | 43.3 | 43.0 | 47.0 | 46.3 | 44.7 | 2.3 | 45.0 | 2.3 | 1.0 | . 3 | 7.0 | . 3 | 5.0 |
| 21-30 | 19.3 | 20.0 | 6.3 | 25.3 | 25.3 | 25.7 | 26.3 | 23.7 | 1.0 | 25.3 | . 7 | . 0 | . 7 | . 7 | 1.5 | 4.7 |
| 31-40 | 9.3 | 9.0 | . 3 | 9.7 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 7.7 | 5.0 | . 0 | 7.3 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 | . 3 | . 7 | 2.0 |
| 41-50 | 5.0 | 4.7 | . 3 | 4.7 | 4.3 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 3.7 | . 0 | 3.7 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 | . 7 | 1 | 1.3 |
| >51 | 9.0 | 8.7 | . 7 | 7.3 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 7.3 | 6.7 | . 3 | 6.0 | . 3 | . 0 | . 0 | . 7 | 1.0 | . 3 |

## Findings:

It is found in Table 2.1 that entertainment genres Comedies, Movies, Gossip and chats, Reality shows, Movie songs, Serials, are highly watched by all age groups followed by infotainment
programmes, Debates and Talk shows and then information genres like News and News Based Information Programmes. It is also inferred that teenagers (10-20 age) are exposed most to TV than aged people above 50 . This probably could be the reason that the prime-time evening programmes are most watched by teenagers and they captivate the TV sets in spite of the home staying housewives and retired persons inclusive of 21 to 50 aged working members.

Table 2.2 Percentages of demography, age group and levels of information and entertainment seeking

| Age | 0 |  | 1 to $25 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ |  | $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | inf <br> or | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | Ent |
| $10-20$ | .7 | .3 | 30.0 | .3 | 16.0 | 5.3 | .7 | 18.7 | 1.0 | 3.7 |
| $21-30$ | .3 | .3 | 11.3 | 1.3 | 13.3 | 3.3 | 1.0 | 12.3 | 1.3 | 0.0 |
| $31-40$ | .0 | .3 | 4.0 | .3 | 4.7 | 2.0 | .7 | 4.0 | .7 | .3 |
| $41-50$ | .0 | .3 | 1.3 | .7 | 2.7 | .7 | .3 | 2.3 | .7 | .0 |
| $>51$ | .0 | .0 | 4.0 | .7 | 4.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 4.3 | .0 | .7 |

## Findings:

From Table 2.2 the range above 50 percent, especially 76 to 100 percent level, entertainment is the most sought media among the two factors; information and entertainment. The ages between 10-20 marked the highest level of entertainment seeking from TV media.

Table 2.3 Percentages of age group demographics spending number of hours on watching TV per day

| Age | Hours Spent Per Day |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 H to 3H | 3 H to 7 H | 7 H to 15H |
| $10-20$ | 28.0 | 16.3 | 4.0 |
| $21-30$ | 20.7 | 5.3 | 1.3 |
| $31-40$ | 8.0 | 2.0 | .0 |
| $41-50$ | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| $>51$ | 5.7 | 3.0 | .7 |

Findings:
Table 2.3 proved that most number of hours being spent on watching TV per day is 1 to 3 hrs followed by 3 to 7 hrs. The age groups 10 to 20 scale is the highest in watching followed by age group 21 to 30 .

Table 2.4 Percentages of age group demographics spending number of days on watching TV per week

| Age | Days Spent Per Week |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 1D to 3D | 3D to 7D |
| $10-20$ | 3.7 | 44.7 |
| $21-30$ | 3.7 | 23.7 |
| $31-40$ | 1.7 | 8.3 |
| $41-50$ | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| $>51$ | 1.3 | 8.0 |

## Findings:

Table 2.4 proves that the greatest number of days being spent on watching TV per week is 3 to 7 days. The age groups 10 to 20 scale the highest in watching followed by age group 21 to 30 . But
most of the samples in the range $10-20$ age is actually from age 17 to 20 , normally to be undergraduate college going potentially in shifts allowing ample time for them to be exposed to TV either in the mornings or in evenings.

Table 3.1 Percentage of males and females watching each of the different television genres

| Gen | Programme Genres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBIP | DTK | COM | REAL | SER | MV | MVS | FTLS | S\&T | G\&C | SPT | ENG | REL | OTS | TLS | RDS |
|  | 50.7 | 50.0 | 45.0 | 44.3 | 28.3 | 45.0 | 41.7 | 24.7 | 2.0 | 43.3 | 17.0 | 11.7 | 2.3 | 8.0 | 1.2 | 4. |
|  | 38.7 | 44.0 | 49.7 | 46.0 | 44.3 | 49.0 | 44.0 | 43.3 | 1.7 | 44.3 | 5.7 | 8.7 | 5.0 | 18.3 | 3.3 | 8. |

## Findings:

It is found from Table 3.1 that males ranked highest on information needs in News and News Based Information Programmes and concerning infotainment genre on Debate and Talk Shows, on the entertainment motives pertaining to genres Movies, Comedies and Reality Shows. Females ranked highest on entertainment needs like movies, comedies, reality shows, movie songs, gossip and chat show, serials and lesser viewership levels on infotainment and information genres.

Table 3.2 Percentage distribution of gender demographics seeking information (infor) and entertainment (ent)

| Gen | 0 |  | 1 to $25 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ |  | $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | Infor | ent |
| M | 1.0 | .7 | 28.7 | 1.3 | 21.0 | 6.3 | 2.3 | 20.3 | 1.7 | 18.3 |
| F | .3 | .7 | 22.0 | 2.0 | 20.0 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 21.3 | 1.5 | 22.3 |

## Findings:

Table 3.2 found that both the genders seek entertainment from TV at greater levels between 51 and 100 ranges. Females are more vulnerable to entertainment.

Table 3.3 Gender demographics percentage of number of hours exposed to TV programmes per day

| Gen | Hours Spent Per Day |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | 1 H to 3 H | 3 H to 7 H | 7 H to 15 H |
| M | 29.3 | 12.3 | 1.7 |
| F | 36.0 | 15.3 | 5.3 |

## Findings:

Table 3.3 identifies that females spend a greater number of hours in a day than men being exposed to TV. The highest number of hours being 1 to 3 hours and next nearer is 3 to 7 hours by both the genders.

Table 3.4 Gender demographics percentage of number of days exposed to TV programmes per week

| Gen | Days Spent Per Week |  |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1D to 3D | 3D to 7D |
| M | 5.3 | 41.0 |
| F | 6.0 | 47.7 |

## Findings:

Table 3.4 finds that females spend more days in a week than men watching TV. The highest number of days falls in the range between 3 to 7 days by both the genders.

Table 4.1 Educational Qualification and percentages of people watching various television genres

| Educatio <br> n | Programme Genres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { NBI } \\ \text { P } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { DT } \\ \text { K } \end{gathered}$ | COM | $\underset{\mathrm{L}}{\mathrm{REA}}$ | SER | MV | MVS | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { FTL } \\ \mathrm{S} \end{gathered}$ | S\&T | G\&C | SPT | ENG | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{RE} \\ \mathrm{~L} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | OTS | TLS | RDS |
| Below $10^{\text {th }}$ | 6.0 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 7.7 | 10.0 | 9.7 | 9.3 | . 0 | 8.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | 4.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.0 | 5.3 | 3.3 | 5.7 | 3.7 | 2.7 | . 0 | 3.3 | . 7 | . 0 | . 3 | . 3 | . 3 | 1.3 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 33.0 | 35.7 | 50.0 | 46.0 | 49.3 | 50.3 | 46.3 | 49.7 | 2.7 | 48.3 | 15.0 | 12.0 | 1.7 | 17.3 | . 7 | 1.0 |
| UG | 12.7 | 13.3 | 16.3 | 16.0 | 16.7 | 16.7 | 15.7 | 16.3 | . 7 | 15.0 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| PG | 6.0 | 6.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.3 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 8.3 | . 3 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 1.3 | 2.0 | . 7 | 3.0 |
| PROF | 4.0 | 3.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 4.3 | . 0 | 4.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 7 | . 3 | . 5 | 1.7 |

## Findings:

From the table 4.1 it is found that highest viewership levels were found among people who belong to $12^{\text {th }}$ qualification (not necessarily to be studying at present but can be of failed or appeared long before years). Inferences: The possible reason for this result could be the gender, as mostly females and house wives exposed themselves to TV either for entertainment or time pass and to be engaged with.

Table 4.2 Educational Qualification and percentage of level of information and entertainment people seek from television

| Education | 0 |  | 1 to $25 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ |  | $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | Infor | ent |
| Below 10th | .0 | 0 | 5.3 | 0 | 4.7 | 1.3 | .0 | 4.0 | .0 | 4.7 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | .0 | 0 | 2.3 | 0 | 2.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 2.3 | .0 | 1.7 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 1.0 | .3 | 29.7 | 0 | 20.7 | 5.7 | .7 | 22.7 | .3 | 23.7 |
| UG | .0 | .7 | 8.3 | 1.3 | 7.0 | 2.7 | 1.0 | 6.7 | 1.3 | 6.3 |
| PG | .3 | .3 | 3.0 | 1.3 | 4.0 | .7 | .7 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 3.0 |
| PROF | .0 | 0 | 2.0 | .7 | 2.3 | 1.0 | .3 | 2.3 | .7 | 1.3 |

## Findings:

According to Table 4.2 at a higher range between 51-100 \%, it is entertainment motive that is highly sought after in comparison with information need. Variable 12 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ grade (not necessarily to be studying at present but can be of failed or appeared long before years) stands highest level of television watching and highest level of entertainment seeking of other educational variables.

Table 4.3 Educational Qualification and number of hours, people spend on Television in a day

| Education | Hours Spent Per Day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 H to 3H | 3H to 7H | 7 H to 15 H |
| Below 10 | th | 4.0 | 3.0 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | 3.7 | 2.0 | .3 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 32.0 | 15.7 | 4.7 |
| UG | 14.3 | 5.0 | 1.0 |
| PG | 6.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 |
| PROF | 5.0 | .3 | .0 |

## Findings:

It is noted in Table 4.3 that according to education demography, higher percentage of hours spent on TV per day is variable $12^{\text {th }}$ grade (not necessarily to be studying at present but can be of failed or
appeared long before years). Yet all the educational grades spent most hours between 1-3 and next lesser hours between 3-7 and the least between 7 to 15 hours watching TV
Table 4.4 Educational status and number of days people watch Television in a week

| Education | Days Spent Per Week |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
|  | 1D to 3D | 3D to 7D |
| Below 10 th | .3 | 9.7 |
| $10^{\text {th }}$ | .7 | 5.0 |
| $12^{\text {th }}$ | 5.3 | 47.0 |
| UG | 3.3 | 14.3 |
| PG | 1.0 | 8.0 |
| PROF | .7 | 4.7 |

## Findings:

Table 4.4 records that according to education demography, higher percentage in number of TV watching is between 3 to 7 days and those who were at $12^{\text {th }}$ grade (not necessarily to be studying at present but can be of failed or appeared long before years) were highly prone.

Table 5.1 Employment status of people watching each of the genres in percentages

| Emp | Programme Genres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBIP | DTK | COM | REAL | SER | MV | MVS | FTL | S\&T | G\&C | SPT | ENG | $\overline{\mathrm{RE}}$ | OTS | $\mathrm{TL}$ | RDS |
| NW | 41.0 | 45.0 | 61.3 | 57.7 | 59.7 | 62.0 | 61.0 | 57.0 | 3.0 | 59.7 | 16.7 | 14.7 | 3.0 | 22.0 | 2.5 | 8.3 |
| W | 26.3 | 25.0 | 31.7 | 31.7 | 32.7 | 31.3 | 32.3 | 34.0 | . 7 | 26.0 | 16.7 | 5.3 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 1.7 | 4.3 |
| PTJ | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | . 0 | 1.7 | 1.3 | . 3 | . 0 | . 7 | . 3 | . 7 |

## Findings:

The Table 5.1 denotes that the highest television watching variable is NW-Not Working people spending ample time on Television on all programmes followed by W-Working members and the last PTJ-Part Time Job persons as least Television viewers.
Table 5.2 Employment status of people spending number of hours on TV watching in a day

| Emp | Hrs Spent Per Day |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | 1H to 3H | 3H to 7H | 7 H to 15 H |  |
| NW | 36.3 | 21.7 | 6.3 |  |
| W | 27.3 | 6.0 | .7 |  |
| PTJ | 1.7 | .0 | .0 |  |

## Findings:

Table 5.2 reveals that, Not Working members spent the greatest number of hours per day watching TV. Higher percentage of watching duration was $1-3$ hours of all the three variables of employment demography.

Table 5.3 Employment status of people spending number of days on TV watching in a week

| Emp | Days Spent Per Week |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1D to 3D | 3D to 7D |
| NW | 6.3 | 59.7 |
| W | 4.7 | 27.7 |
| PTJ |  | .3 |

## Findings:

It is found that, Not Working members spent the greatest number of days per week watching TV. Likewise, higher percentage between 3 to 7 days per week is being spent on TV.

Table 5.4 Employment Status of people opting information and entertainment levels.

| Emp | 0 |  | 1 to $25 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ |  | $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | infor | Ent | Infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | Ent | infor | ent |
| NW | 1.3 | .3 | 36.3 | 1.0 | 24.0 | 8.3 | 1.7 | 25.7 | 1.0 | 29.0 |
| W | .0 | 1.0 | 12.7 | 2.3 | 17.0 | 4.7 | 2.0 | 15.7 | 2.3 | 10.3 |
| PTJ | .0 | .0 | 1.7 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .0 | .3 | .0 | 1.3 |

## Findings:

From table 5.4 it is found that below 50 percent of all Not Working, Working and Part Time Job people watched TV programmes for information purpose than of entertainment, whereas above 51 to 100 percent people watched TV programmes most for entertainment purpose. Of Not Working, Working and Part Time Job employment demographic people, Not Working people were the most to watch TV programmes and their main objective was entertainment.

Table 6.1 Marital Status of people watching different genres

| MS | Programme Genres |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | NBIP | $\begin{gathered} \text { DT } \\ \text { K } \end{gathered}$ | COM | REA | SER | MV | MVS | $\begin{gathered} \text { FTL } \\ \text { S } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | S\&T | G\&C | SPT | ENG | REL | OTS | TLS | RDS |
| MD | 19.3 | 22.3 | 25.0 | 25.0 | 26.3 | 26.0 | 27.0 | 17.7 | . 3 | 21.0 | 4.3 | 3.3 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 9.7 |
| UM | 47.7 | 50.3 | 69.7 | 65.3 | 68.7 | 69.0 | 66.3 | 63.3 | 3.3 | 66.3 | 18.3 | 17.0 | 3.3 | 22.3 | 1.5 | 3.5 |

## Findings:

From the above table 6.1, it is found that unmarried persons were frequent viewers of TV programmes. Entertainment genres like comedies, movies and movie songs were most watched and ranks high in viewership levels.

Table 6.2 Marital Status analysis on information and entertainment seeking percentage

| MS | 0 |  | 1 to $25 \%$ |  | $25 \%$ to $50 \%$ |  | $51 \%$ to $75 \%$ |  | $76 \%$ to $100 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | infor | ent | Infor | ent |
| MD | .0 | .7 | 9.3 | 2.0 | 15.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 | 14.7 | 1.7 | 6.7 |
| UM | 1.3 | .7 | 41.3 | 1.3 | 26.0 | 9.0 | 1.7 | 27.0 | 1.7 | 34.0 |

## Findings:

It is found that both married and unmarried persons opted for more of entertainment from the range 51 percent to 100 percent with the greater percentage of entertainment seeking from unmarried persons.

Table 6.3 Marital Status analysis on hours spent per day on TV

| MS | Hours Spent Per Day |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 H to 3 H | 3 H to 7 H | 7 H to 15 H |
| MD | 20.0 | 6.3 | 1.7 |
| UM | 45.3 | 21.3 | 5.3 |

## Findings:

Table 6.3 identifies that Unmarried people spent more hours in a day on TV and both Married and Unmarried people spent more or less compulsory of 1-3 hours per day.

Table 6.4 Marital Status analysis on days spent per week on TV viewing

| MS | Days Spent Per Week |  |
| :---: | ---: | :--- |
|  | 1D to 3D | 3D to 7D |
| MD | 5.0 | 23.0 |
| UM | 6.3 | 65.7 |

## Findings:

Table 6.4 reveals that unmarried people spent a greater number of days per week watching TV than married people

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

From the above findings and inferences, it is comprehended that teenagers between ages 17 to 20 are comparatively more involved in watching television than other age groups. While housewives, old aged and retired persons have the habit of watching entertainment programmes especially Movies, Movie Songs, Comedies, Reality Shows, Gossip and Chat programmes. They spend maximum 1-3 hours and even 3-7 hours on watching. It is also noted that this age group had intensive habit of watching TV a greater number of days in a week. This is a very positive sign of watching pattern towards television, albeit other mediums like computers, internet and mobile phones also keep them occupied.
It is commonly understood that mostly this age group captivates TV set and remote in their house when they are available either watching programmes all alone or others as co-viewers with this particular age group. The study also proved that in gender demography, males have less TV viewing habits contrary to females. The female percentage of television watching behavior, the hours spent on TV and the number of days being exposed to television in a week is much higher than their opposite gender. It is once again proved from the above tables and analysis that females watched entertainment-oriented programmes compared to the information-inclined TV programmes. In fact, these females have contributed to the highest percentage values for age groups demography $10-20$ range and non-working demographics.
Likewise, as far as $12^{\text {th }}$ pass outs were concerned, they completed their studies long before and now staying at home as housewives - having much time to spend on TV during day time yet have their own limitations of personal time frames and constraints. Concerning the demography of marital status, unmarried people are recorded as having more television watching attitudes, possibly more females being unmarried meaning to be college students between ages 17-20 and extending to other age groups too. Males are established as having less television watching behaviour in comparison to females. The amount of time in hours spending on television viewing in a day and the number of days spent per week on TV by male is lower than their female counterpart.
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ABBREVIATIONS:

COM-Comedies
Ent-Entertainment
Emp-Employment
D-Days
DTK-Debates and Talk
Shows
ENG-English Channels
F-Female
FTLS- Food Tourism and
Lifestyle
Gen-Gender
G\&C-Gossip and chats
Hrs \% H-Hours

Infor-Information
M -Male
MD-Married
MS-Marital Status
MV-Movie
MVS-Movie Songs
NBIP-News and News
Based Information
Porgrammes
NW-Not Working
OTS-Others
PG-Post Graduate
PROF-Professional

PTJ-Part Time Job
Prog.-Programmes
RDS-Road shows
REAL-Reality Shows
REL-Religious
SER-Serials
SPT-Sports
S\&T-Science and
Technology
TLS-Teleshopping
UG-Under Graduate
UM- Unmarried
W-Working
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